A role for DHA and aspirin-acetylated COX-2 metabolites on cancer related angiogenesis

M. Vara-Messler¹, A. Trenti¹, C. Buccellati², A. Sala^{2,3}, M.E. Pasqualini⁴, L. Trevisi¹, C. Bolego¹

¹Dept. of Pharmaceutical and Pharmacological Sciences, University of Padua, Italy

³IBIM, C.N.R., Palermo, Italy

⁴Instituto de Biologia Celular, Faculty of Medicine, University of Cordoba, Argentina

Background: Breast cancer (BC) is the most frequent cancer occurring in women [1]. Epidemiological data have linked n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) consumption to lower incidence of BC and several experimental studies showed the anti-proliferative effects of n-3 PUFAs in different BC models [2]. Chia oil is rich in α -linolenic acid (ALA 18:3 n-3), while corn oil is rich in linoleic acid (LA 18:2 n-6). Angiogenesis is a tightly regulated process involving endothelial cell (EC) proliferation, migration and tube formation [3]. Docosahexaenoic acid (DHA, 22:6 n-3) a downstream metabolite from ALA, has been demonstrated to regulate cancer-related angiogenesis, while arachidonic acid (AA, 20:4 n-6) promotes angiogenesis [4]. In addition, aspirin (ASA) has antineoplastic effects that are mediated at least in part by metabolites derived from acetylated COX-2 [5]. The study was aimed to determine a possible role of dietary n-3 PUFAs on BC growth and, in particular, the effect of DHA metabolites from ASA-acetylated COX-2 in cancer-related angiogenesis.

Methods: 40 BALB/c mice were fed 1) a Chia Oil (ChO)-rich (n-3), or 2) a Corn Oil (CO)-rich diet (n-6). Afterwards, mice were inoculated with mouse BC cells (LM3) and tumour growth parameters were recorded after 35 days. Mitotic or apoptotic figures were assessed in haematoxylin/eosin-stained tumour sections. Human endothelial cells (ECs) were used at 2nd to 6th passage and treated with DHA or AA (1-50 μ M) for 24h in the presence or absence of ASA (50 μ M). Selected experiments were performed with 17-R HDoHE (100nM-3 μ M), a DHA metabolite derived from acetylated COX-2. EC viability was analyzed with the MTT assay. The angiogenetic process was evaluated using a) the wound healing assay, b) a micro chemotaxis chamber, and c) matrigel.

Results: After 35 days tumour incidence was higher in CO-fed compared with ChO-fed mice (100 vs 85%, p<0.05). Tumour weight and volume as well as metastasis number were lower, whereas tumour latency time was longer in ChO-fed mice. A lower number of mitosis figures and a higher number of apoptotic bodies was observed in ChO with respect to CO group (p<0.05). Given these in vivo findings, we next studied whether n-3 PUFAs had direct anti-angiogenic actions on human ECs. Cells were viable when treated with DHA or AA in the presence or absence of ASA for 24 h. Challenging the cells with 17-R HDoHE for 24 h did not affect cell viability. EC migration, as evaluated by wound healing assay, was significantly decreased in cells pretreated for 24 h with 30µM, but not 10µM DHA compared to control. Interestingly, 10µM DHA in the presence of 50µM ASA significantly inhibited ECs migration ($64\pm5.4\%$, p<0.001) after 24 h. By contrast, AA did not affect EC migration at any concentration tested ($1-30\mu$ M) in the presence or absence of ASA. Pretreatment with 17-R HDoHE ($1-3\mu$ M, 24 h) decreased EC migration ($88\%\pm4.1$ and $72\%\pm3.9$, p<0.01, respectively) evaluated by the wound healing assay. Additionally, 17-R HDoHE ($1-3\mu$ M, 6h) reduced FBS-induced EC migration as evaluated in a micro chemotaxis chamber ($70\%\pm4.5$ and $35\%\pm1.8$, p<0.05 and p<0.001, respectively), while DHA did not show any effect. Furthermore, 17-R HDoHE inhibited EC tube formation after a 6 h treatment. In particular, meshes and mesh area were significantly lower in 10 µM DHA-treated cells in the presence of 50µM ASA, as well as in 17-R HDoHE (300nM-3µM)-treated cells.

Conclusion: In-vivo data point to a central role of n-3 PUFAs in BC growth. In vitro data demonstrate that aspirinacetylated COX-2 enhances the antiangiogenic effects of n-3 PUFAs. Overall, these findings illustrate an opportunity to treat pathological angiogenesis using n-3 PUFAs in combination with ASA. **References**:

- 1. Ferlay J et al. Int J Cancer. 2015; 136:E359
- 2. Berquin IM et al. Cancer. Lett. 2008; 269:363
- 3. Carmeliet P et al. Nature. 2011; 19:473
- 4. Spencer L et al. Eur J Cancer. 2009; 45:2077
- 5. Salvado MD et al. Trends Mol Med. 2012; 18:233.

²Dept. of Pharmacological and Biomolecular Sciences, University of Milan, Italy