

TREND OF ADVERSE DRUG REACTION REPORTING IN CALABRIA ACROSS THE PERIOD 2011-2016: WHICH IMPACT BY THE NEW EUROPEAN PHARMACOVIGILANCE LEGISLATION?

1)Leporini C. 2)Palleria C. 3)Leo A. 4)Lucia M. 5)Florio L. 6)Sorrentino A. 7)Piro B. 8)Costantino D. 9)Russo E. 10)De sarro G.

University of Catanzaro

Adverse drug reactions (ADRs) significantly impact clinical practice both from a clinical and economic perspective (1). In the past few years, a large body of evidence documented that approximately 197000 deaths per year in the European Union (EU) were due to ADRs, which resulted in a total cost to the society of about € 79 billions/year (2,3). Consistently, some regulatory actions such as the withdrawal of rofecoxib in 2004 (4) or the suspension of the marketing authorization of rosiglitazone (5) highlighted several weaknesses in the pharmacovigilance legislation. Therefore, an improvement of the entire pharmacovigilance system became necessary. Accordingly, in December 2010 the European Parliament and the Council adopted new pharmacovigilance legislation, which has been effective since July 2012: Directive 2010/84/EU and Regulation (EU) 1235/2010 (6,7). Such a reform was essentially targeted to increase the efficiency, speed and transparency of pharmacovigilance activities in order to protect public health by reducing the number and seriousness of ADRs (8).

In line with the EudraVigilance data, the number of suspected ADRs yearly submitted to the Italian Network of Pharmacovigilance (RNF) has progressively increased since July 2012. These results have mostly reflected the agreements between Italian Medicines Agency (AIFA) and Italian Regions, enabling the implementation of active pharmacovigilance projects. In particular, Calabria Region (Southern Italy) has carried out an AIFA-funded project since the end of 2010 to increase regional ADR reporting and promote a safer medicines' use.

In this study, we investigated the trend of ADRs reporting in Calabria in the period 2011-2016 in light of the above regional project, also trying to analyze the possible entailments of entering into force the new EU Pharmacovigilance rules.

The RNF database was electronically searched for all ADR reports submitted by Calabrian healthcare professionals and patients between 2011 and 2016. A total of 4364 reports were stored in the database in the whole study period. The retrieved reports were studied by a descriptive analysis.

A sharp rise in regional reporting rate was observed in the period 2011-2014. Notably, Calabrian Pharmacovigilance system completely fulfilled the World Health Organization (WHO) gold standard for ADR reporting rate (300 ADR reports/1 million people), both in 2013 (581 ADR reports per million of inhabitants) and 2014 (568 ADR reports per million of inhabitants). In contrast to the triennium 2011-2013, the analysis of the period 2014-2016 highlighted a progressive decline in the number of ADR reports (from 1123 to 620), although the regional reporting rate steadily exceeded the annual reporting standard set by the WHO in each year considered.

Overall, the improvement of regional ADR reporting was mainly due to the contribution by hospital physicians, specialists, pharmacists, and general practitioners. However, heterogeneity was observed in the reporting behavior of both health facilities and specific stakeholders (patients/citizens and nurses) among the study years.

Taken together, these findings reflect the success of the project performed in Calabria. Of note, the general improvement of Calabrian pharmacovigilance system exemplify, in some way, the benefits of the new EU Pharmacovigilance legislation, as mainly observed at national level. However, the regional plan of active pharmacovigilance should go on in the next future to promote a more homogeneous reporting behavior, enabling better and steadier results in the long term.

- 1) Sultana et al. (2013). J Pharmacol Pharmacother. 4, S73-77.
- 2) Commission of the European Communities Staff Working Document (2008).
- 3) Pontes et al. (2014). Drug Saf. 37, 471-479.
- 4) Couzin (2004). Science 306, 384-385.
- 5) European Medicines Agency recommends suspension of Avandia, Avandamet and Avaglim. (2010).
- 6) Regulation (EU) No 1235/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council (2010). Official Journal of the European Union, 1-16.
- 7) Directive 2010/84/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council (2010). Official Journal of the European Union, 74-99.
- 8) EMA website. Pharmacovigilance legislation.