

## **Off-label drug use and safety of intravitreal anti-VEGF: data from Registries and Pharmacovigilance Network**

1)Gozzo L. 2)Benfatto G. 3) Longo L. 4) Mansueto S. 5) Vitale D.C. 6) Lazzara A. 7) Drago F.

*University Hospital of Catania*

### **Background**

According to EMA, off-label use “relates to situations where the medicinal product is intentionally used for a medical purpose not in accordance with the authorised product information.” Off-label prescribing is not currently regulated at EU level but some countries adopted specific laws. In Italy, a comprehensive body of off-label legislation has been produced. L. 94/1998 allows the physician to use an off-label drug based on new efficacy data, but drug cost is not cover by NHS. L. 648/1996 establishes the reimbursement of a drug (1) approved in other countries, or (2) currently used in clinical trials or (3) used for not approved indications. All these drugs must be included in a list based on new scientific evidences resulting from at least phase II clinical trials.

Appropriateness in off-label drug prescriptions must be carefully assessed in order to ensure this use occurs only if data support a favorable risk/benefit profile.

Off-label use through intra-vitreous (ITV) injection of anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (anti-VEGF) drugs became an emblematic case of appropriateness. The Italian Medicines Agency (AIFA) initially added bevacizumab to the 648 list for various ITV indications but the use in age-related macular degeneration (AMD) was forbidden when ranibizumab came to reimbursement. Bevacizumab was then excluded from the list for all other off-label uses in 2012, following the introduction of safety warning in its summary of product characteristics (SPC), despite the same information were included in the SPC of ranibizumab as 'product-class-related adverse reactions'.

However, in 2013, the World Health Organization (WHO) included the off-label use of bevacizumab in AMD in its Essential Medicines List, and some studies excluded a difference in terms of risk/benefit profile compared to the approved drugs.

In 2014, bevacizumab was therefore re-introduced in Italy as a therapeutic option for AMD, following a decree which permits off-label use according to L. 648/1996 of less costly safe and effective drugs even in presence of authorized alternatives with higher cost.

### **Material and methods**

We analyzed data from Registries and Pharmacovigilance Network between 2014 and 2016, in order to evaluate use of ITV anti-VEGF bevacizumab, ranibizumab and aflibercept and suspected adverse drug reactions (ADRs). We had access only to Sicilian databases.

### **Results**

We found 25,188 prescriptions of anti-VEGF in Sicily, from 2014 to 2016 (Tab. 1), including 354 prescriptions of bevacizumab, 15,662 of ranibizumab and 9,172 of aflibercept.

A total of 64 ADRs (0.3% over anti-VEGF prescriptions) were reported in Sicily in the reference period (Tab. 2).

Out of the 59 ADRs reported with use of ranibizumab (0.4% over drug prescriptions), 40 were non-serious, 6 serious, 13 undefined (Fig. 1, Tab. 3). It is noteworthy that 85% of ADRs were treatment failures (Tab. 4).

Out of the 5 ADRs reported with aflibercept (0.05% over prescriptions) 3 were non-serious (treatment failures) and 2 serious (Fig. 2, Tab. 3 and 4). Tab. 5 shows ADRs per outcome.

No ADRs were reported with ITV use of bevacizumab.

## **Conclusions**

Our data shows a limited ITV use of bevacizumab in Sicily (1.4% of anti-VEGF prescriptions), despite the re-admission in 648 list and despite the failure to show a lower risk/benefit profile compared to the available therapeutic options. Moreover, this is in contrast with data about off-label use before the removal of bevacizumab from 648 list in 2012. A low rate of ADRs has been found on Pharmacovigilance Network but it should be taken into account the phenomenon of under-reporting. These results should be compared with national data. The high percentage of treatment failures reported has to be further investigated.